8/28/72 Many thanks for promptness with which you sent copies of the four pages I'd asked. I also wrote Archives immediately but have had no response, which is what I'd anticipated. Always that way. ...Heard from nobody about our great coup with Cyril. I can't remember when I was as unhappy to be as right. I expect that, as with Lattimer, this will be a one-shot, unless Cyril is stupid enough to press with that "craziness" about the brain and slide. I have not addressed him and do not intend to. If Sylvia writes, it will depend on her attitude whether I tell her what should be obvious to us all about these things. I do regard it as significant that those with the means have not phoned to tell me how wrong I was. God I hope people can begin to learn from this. And now Nichols! Haven't seen Times yet but the more I think of Marshall, the more I wonder more than I had earlier, and that was much. By one-shot, I mean no follow-up, the purposes predicted having been accomplished. Until Cyril writes for some scientific publication...Just had a call from Nat Equirer. They are now also after the brain...In considering the position I have long held, recently disputed and now validated, and in evaluating what I sent you yesterday and Graham reported, I hope you will also remember the meaning of your current kindness, for which I thank you. You have not seem PM new III, so you do no know how much further I have carried this and the error of the thrust of Graham's piece. But th reporter who just called, their assassination expert, agrees entirely with McDonald that the tw things accomplished is the exculpation of all the guilty and the shafting of all Kennedys. He will seek to explore the brain-tumor possibility. I don't know, but I do think that would show X-rays and Cyril is not quoted as saying it does. He saw them. A regular-shaped fragment 1/2x3/ that does not show on X-rays I can't conceive. We may be headed for more trouble. Thanks.h